



Haringey Council

Scrutiny Review - Children Missing from Care and from Home

MONDAY, 13TH FEBRUARY, 2012 at 14:30 HRS - CIVIC CENTRE, HIGH ROAD, WOOD GREEN, N22 8LE

MEMBERS: Councillors Alexander (Chair), Amin and Ejiofor

Co-Optees: Ms Y. Denny (church representative), Ms S.Young (Parent Governor), Mr. A. Dauda (Parent Governor), Mrs. M. Ezeji (Parent Governor)

AGENDA

1. **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**
2. **URGENT BUSINESS**
3. **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

A member with a personal interest in a matter who attends a meeting of the authority at which the matter is considered must disclose to that meeting the existence and nature of that interest at the commencement of that consideration or when the interest becomes apparent.

A member with a personal interest in a matter also has a prejudicial interest in that matter if the interest is one which a member of the public with knowledge of the relevant facts would reasonably regard as so significant that it is likely to prejudice the member's judgment of the public interest and if this interest affects their financial position or the financial position of a person or body as described in paragraph 8 of the Code of Conduct and/or if it relates to the determining of any approval, consent, licence, permission or registration in relation to them or any person or body described in paragraph 8 of the Code of Conduct.

4. **MINUTES (PAGES 1 - 4)**

To approve the minutes of the meeting of 24 January 2012 (attached).

5. CHILDREN MISSING FROM CARE AND FROM HOME

To receive evidence from residential care providers and private fostering agencies.

6. FUTURE MEETINGS/PROGRESS OF REVIEW (PAGES 5 - 6)

To note the future programme of meetings of the Panel and consider progress with the review.

7. NEW ITEMS OF URGENT BUSINESS

David McNulty
Head of Local Democracy
and Member Services
Level 5
River Park House
225 High Road
Wood Green
London N22 8HQ

Rob Mack
Senior Policy Officer
Level 7
River Park House
225 High Road
Wood Green
London N22 8HQ

Tel: 020 8489 2921

E-mail: rob.mack@haringey.gov.uk

Monday, 06 February 2012

MINUTES OF THE SCRUTINY REVIEW - CHILDREN MISSING FROM CARE AND FROM HOME**TUESDAY, 24 JANUARY 2012**

Councillors: Alexander (Chair), Amin and Ejiofor

Co-opted Members: Ms. Y. Denny (Church representative) and Ms. S. Young (parent governor)

LC15. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

None.

LC16. URGENT BUSINESS

None.

LC17. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None.

LC18. MINUTES**AGREED:**

That the minutes of the meetings of 18 October and 29 November 2011 be approved.

LC19. CHILDREN MISSING FROM CARE AND FROM HOME

The Panel received evidence from a foster carer in Haringey. She stated that she felt that young people often went missing due to peer pressure to stay out late at night. Some young people could come back later than had been agreed and did not contact their carers. In such circumstances, they would often switch off their mobile phones so they could not be contacted by their carer. She had known young people in her care to return home staggering due to the effects of drink or drugs. The social environment encouraged young people to behave in this way.

Foster carers did not always know that the young people that were allocated to them were frequent absconders. Social workers could be involved in addressing issues. Sanctions could often be ineffective. Some young people did not listen to parental figures, which was the reason why they were in care. It could be difficult to get them to attend appointments such as ones with the Youth Offending Service.

Even if foster carers were trained and prepared for dealing with a range of challenges, this did not necessarily mean that they were able to control the young person. She had cared for a 13 year old who had come back at 4:00 a.m. Due to the lateness of the hour, she had considered him to be missing rather than merely late.

Young people in care received £10 per week plus an allowance for clothing. Many of the young people that were in care had already gone off the rails and it was hard to deal with them. Some could demand money and she was aware of one foster carer who was frightened that the young person in her care might harm her if she did not

MINUTES OF THE SCRUTINY REVIEW - CHILDREN MISSING FROM CARE AND FROM HOME

TUESDAY, 24 JANUARY 2012

give him money. There could also be concern that young people would shoplift if refused money. Others could refuse to attend school. Foster carers were made aware of any issues during looked after children (LAC) meetings. There was reference within training for carers on what they should do if a child went missing.

Panel Members felt that it was important that foster carers were made aware of all relevant information prior to placements. It was noted that a risk assessment as well as a placement plan was drafted for young people being placed. The risk assessment would refer to previous instances of absconding. These documents were shared with the foster carer. Measures were being undertaken currently to improve their quality.

The foster carer stated that social workers spoke to young people to explain the risks of running away. She did not see what else could be done to prevent children going missing. Foster carers also spoke to the young people themselves. They received support including training, part of which was on addressing challenging behaviour. Physical restraint could not be used to prevent young people absconding. Things had changed in recent years and being a foster carer was now more challenging.

It was noted that some young people were in care as they could not be managed effectively by their parents. Concerns about children and young people could be raised by schools and there were mechanisms for addressing these such as turnaround teams. The Council's Virtual Head Teacher who worked with looked after children liaised with schools in such situations.

Wendy Tomlinson from the Children and Young People's Service (C&YPS) reported that placement plans required further improvement. Relevant agencies were informed of placements and involved in developing placements plans. Schools were invited to all meetings but did not always have the time to attend. However, the Virtual Head Teacher was involved and included in LAC reviews.

LAC review meetings included children but placement planning meetings for them did not. These would consider any issues relating to whether children or young people were prone to absconding. Placement planning meetings considered how the young person would function in their placement rather than where they would be placed. Consideration was given to the sort of placements that might be appropriate for the child or young person prior to them being taken into care.

The Panel thanked the foster carer and Ms Tomlinson for their contribution and attendance.

AGREED:

That C&YPS be requested to provide a step by step outline on how the process for placing children and young people operated and confirmation of how much pocket money was allocated to each young person.

LC20. FUTURE MEETINGS/PROGRESS OF REVIEW

It was noted that there was statutory guidance on what should occur when a child returned after going missing. This included a "safe and well" meeting with the Police to ensure that they had not come to any harm and a further interview, which could be

MINUTES OF THE SCRUTINY REVIEW - CHILDREN MISSING FROM CARE AND FROM HOME

TUESDAY, 24 JANUARY 2012

with an independent advocate, to provide them with opportunity for them to articulate any concerns that they may have that might have contributed to them going missing. This role was currently being undertaken by Barnardos within Haringey. It was noted that Barnardos were scheduled to come to a future meeting of the Panel and would be able to provide information on what they had found since beginning their work in the borough last autumn. The Panel requested information on how this role was undertaken within Haringey prior to Barnardos beginning their work. The Panel also felt that it would be helpful for them to receive case studies from Barnardos when they attend on particular Haringey children and young people who had gone missing. In addition, they requested to be provided with relevant research that had been undertaken on this issue by the Children's Society.

AGREED:

1. That C&YPS be requested to provide separate and comparative statistics on the numbers of children that go missing from residential care and foster placements and an analysis of any patterns that may exist.
2. That information be provided to the Panel on how the role currently undertaken in Haringey by Barnardos was performed prior to their project beginning its work in autumn 2011 as well as relevant research on missing children from the Children's Society.

LC21. NEW ITEMS OF URGENT BUSINESS

**Cllr Karen Alexander
Chair**

This page is intentionally left blank

Scrutiny Review – Children Missing from Care and from Home**Programme of Remaining Meetings**Meeting 4:

Date: Monday 13 February (2:30 p.m.)

Aim/Objective:

To receive evidence on how residential care providers and private fostering agencies address the issue

Possible Witnesses:

Residential care providers, including Council run homes
Private fostering agencies.

Meeting 5:

Date: Tuesday 6 March (1:00 p.m.)

Aim/Objective:

- To receive evidence from Barnardos on the Miss U project for missing children in Haringey
- To receive a presentation from the Children's Society on their "Make Runaways Safe" campaign.

Possible Witnesses:

Barnardos
The Children's Society

Meeting 6:

Date: Tuesday 13 March (6:30 p.m.)

Aims/Objectives:

- To consider further any issues that may have arisen in the course of evidence gathering sessions
- To consider appropriate conclusions and recommendations for the review

Background Information:

A digest of evidence received and key issues raised in the course of the review

Possible Witnesses:

C&YPS

This page is intentionally left blank